### Transcript of the Testimony of VALERIE J. HARWOOD, Ph.D.

1/29/2008

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, et al. VS. TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.

4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 610 S. Main St., Ste. 210

Tulsa, OK 74103

Phone: (918) 587-2878 Fax: (918) 587-2879

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
4
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
5
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, )
6
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
7
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
8
                Plaintiffs,
9
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     VS.
10
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
11
                Defendants.
12
13
14
                THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
     VALERIE J. HARWOOD, Ph.D., produced as a witness
16
     on behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and
17
     numbered cause, taken on the 29th day of January,
18
     2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State
19
     of Oklahoma, before me, Bonnie Glidewell, a
20
     Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under
21
     and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	<u> </u>
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Mr. David Page Attorney at Law
4	502 West 6th Street Tulsa, OK 74119
5	-and- Mr. Louis Bullock
6	Attorney at Law 110 West 7th St. Suite 707
7	Tulsa, OK 74119
8	
9	FOR TYSON FOODS: Mr. Jay Jorgenson Mr. Gordon Todd Attorneys at Law
10	1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
11	Washington, D.C. 20003
12	FOR CARGILL: Mr. John Tucker Attorney at Law
13	100 West 5th Street Suite 400
14	Tulsa, OK 74103
15	
16	FOR SIMMONS FOODS: Mr. John Elrod Attorney at Law
	211 East Dickson Street
17	Fayetteville, AR 72701
18	
19	FOR PETERSON FARMS: Ms. Nicole Longwell Attorney at Law
	320 South Boston
20	Suite 700
21	Tulsa, OK 74103
22	FOR GEORGE'S: Mr. Woody Bassett, III
23	Attorney at Law 221 North College
24	Fayetteville, AR 72701
25	
-	

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	FOR WILLOW BROOK:	Ms. Jennifer Griffin Attorney at Law
2		314 East High Street Jefferson City, MO 65109
3		(Via phone)
4	FOR CAL-MAINE:	Mr. Robert Sanders
5	TOR CHE TERRINE.	Attorney at Law 2000 AmSouth Plaza
6		P. O. Box 23059 Jackson, MS 39225
7		-and- Mr. Robert Reddeman
8		Attorney at Law 1437 South Boulder
9		Tulsa, OK 74119
10	ALSO APPEARING:	Mrs. Camuol Muoda
11	ALSO APPEARING:	Mr. Samuel Myoda
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Tahg.	how fraggently do you groat with North Winds?	
A	-	
Q	And do you direct their work?	
A	I would say I contribute to guiding their	
work.	I wouldn't say I direct it.	08:22AM
Q	Okay. Were they already working in the case	
before	e you or did they come after you?	
A	I believe that North Winds Lab came on after I	
came c	on.	
Q	And did you recommend the hiring of them?	08:22AM
A	No.	
Q	Who selected North Wind?	
A	I believe it was I don't know.	
Q	When you were going to say that you believe	
unders	standing that you don't know who do you	08:22AM
believ	ve it was?	
	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
	THE WITNESS: I believe it was Roger Olsen.	
Q	(By Mr. Jorgenson) Let's get a complete list	
of the	e labs you've interacted with in this case; it	08:22AM
might	help, then I won't ask you imprecise questions	
down t	the road. Will you name all labs that you've.	
Worked	d with on this matter?	
A	North Winds.	
Q	Okay,	08:23AM
	A Q A work. Q before A came of Q A Q unders believ Q of the might down t Worked A	Q And do you direct their work?  A I would say I contribute to guiding their work. I wouldn't say I direct it.  Q Okay. Were they already working in the case before you or did they come after you?  A I believe that North Winds Lab came on after I came on.  Q And did you recommend the hiring of them?  A No.  Q Who selected North Wind?  A I believe it was I don't know.  Q When you were going to say that you believe understanding that you don't know who do you believe it was?  MR. PAGE: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I believe it was Roger Olsen.  Q (By Mr. Jorgenson) Let's get a complete list of the labs you've interacted with in this case; it might help, then I won't ask you imprecise questions down the road. Will you name all labs that you've.  Worked with on this matter?  A North Winds.

1	this case, any person at all, that you give	
2	directions to, that you tell what to do?	
3	A I give suggestions for analysis to Roger	
4	Olsen, for example, microbial analysis.	
5	Q Okay, and that's more of a collegial 08:32AM	
6	relationship?	
7	A That's your terminology.	
8	Q How do you define your relationship with Roger	
9	Olsen?	
10	A I would say, yes, we are colleagues, but that 08:32AM	
11	at times I do direct what decisions are made in	
12	terms of the analysis in my area of expertise.	
13	Q Okay. And I think I can help you out here.	
14	What I'm trying to figure out what to ask you about,	
15	what not to ask you about. And if you direct the 08:32AM	
16	hydrogeology in the case, then we'll send a lot of	
17	time on the hydrogeology; if you don't, we won't.	
18	A I do not direct the hydrogeology in the case.	
19	Anything that I have any influence over in terms of	
20	where we go is the microbial water quality testing. 08:32AM	
21	Q So to the extent there is microbial water	
22	testing going on, are you in charge? Do you direct	
23	what is done?	
24	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
25	THE WITNESS: A lot of water quality 08:32AM	

1	testing was actually completed and/or planned before	
2	I came on the case and so I did not direct all of	
3	the nearly all of the planning of the	
4	microbiological testing.	
5	Q (By Mr. Jorgenson) Okay.	08:33AM
6	A The	
7	Q I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you. Go	
8	ahead?	
9	A That's all right.	
10	${f Q}$ So when you came on to the case and a lot of	08:33AM
11	testing had already been done, by whom had it been	
12	done?	
13	A The laboratories that we had mentioned: EML,	
14	FoodProtech and the mystery laboratory.	
15	Q Okay, and if you remember the mystery	08:33AM
16	laboratory's name, let us know.	
17	A (Nodding head up and down.)	
18	Q All right, let me return to the documents you	
19	provided. Did you make my handwritten notes in the	
20	course of working on this case?	08:33AM
21	A I don't remember doing that. I don't make a	
22	lot of handwritten notes.	
23	Q Do you send a lot of e-mail in the case?	
24	A Yes.	
25	<b>Q</b> Okay. I have did you preserve the e-mail	08:34AM

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	can be much lower.
2	Q Why does it matter that the cattle are fenced
3	away from it? When you say, "fenced away," fenced
4	away from what?
5	A Fenced away from the water. The closer the 11:46AM
6	animals are to the water and the more conduits there
7	are from the field into the water, then the higher
8	the potential for impact is for fecal material
9	entering the water and then getting into the
10	receiving water. 11:47AM
11	${f Q}$ Are you aware of situations where cattle have
12	deposited their feces directly into the water?
13	A In the IRW?
14	Q Anywhere.
15	A Yes. 11:47AM
16	Q What impact does that have on the number of
17	viable bacteria that make it into the water?
18	A It depends, again, on the number of cattle and
19	the amount of material they are putting into the
20	stream. And then, of course, the size of the stream 11:47AM
21	matters and the composition of the substratum, so
22	obviously the more animals that are impacting the
23	stream, the higher, the greater the impact is on the
24	water pollution is going to be.
25	Q And if an animal, cow or otherwise, deposits 11:47AM

1	its feces, let's say a hundred yards from the		
2	stream, is there a difference, in your professional		
3	opinion, between how those bacteria will make it to		
4	the stream and the animal deposits its feces		
5	directly into a stream?	11:48AM	
6	A Yes, unless there's a stream running direct,		
7	another ditch running direct, some sort of conduit.		
8	Q Without a conduit, in this hypothetical, just		
9	a field.		
10	A Uh-huh. Then, obviously, the one that was	11:48AM	
11	farther away would tend to have less impact.		
12	Q And why is that?		
13	A Because it would be less likely to be		
14	transported into the water.		
15	Q Right. And we have talked about temperature	11:48AM	
16	and predation, but this case is kind of a funny		
17	case, so with apologies, I'll ask this question.		
18	Are you saying that bacteria survive in the water a		
19	lot better when they are deposited hot and wet than		
20	when they are deposited in dry and far from a	11:48AM	
21	stream?		
22	A If they are deposited directly into the		
23	stream, then the bacteria that are there have a		
24	better chance of surviving in that water better than		
25	ones that were further away and dried, certainly.	11:49AM	

197

-	. 1			
1	the data on which you were relying on was secured			
2	using appropriate standards we discussed earlier?			
3	A	I asked for a list of the methods utilized by		
4	the l	aboratories and I talked to the laboratory		
5	manag	gers and I viewed the data.	01:15PM	
6	Q	Do you still have that list of methods		
7	utili	zed?		
8	A	Yes.		
9	Q	Did you provide it to the State's lawyers?		
10	A	Yes.	01:15PM	
11	Q	Could you provide it to them again if they		
12	didn'	t give it to us?		
13	A	Yes.		
14	Q	Did you contribute in any way to the		
15	devel	opment of the State's standard operating	01:15PM	
16	proce	edures for the collection of samples?		
17	A	Minimally. I recall being asked to talk about		
18	the c	composting of field samples, of soil samples and		
19	poult	ry litter samples and, also, about the		
20	colle	ection of high flow samples.	01:16PM	
21	Q	Okay. So was the sampling structure set up by		
22	other	cs?		
23	A	Generally, yes.		
24	Q	Who?		
25	A	CDM and Roger Olsen's team.	01:16PM	

**Tulsa Freelance Reporters** 

(918) 587-2878

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	Q	Did you contribute to the State's standard		
2	operat	operating procedure for sampling DNA?		
3	A	For sampling DNA. So for collecting the		
4	sample	es from which we extracted DNA?		
5	Q	Right.	01:16PM	
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	Tell us how.		
8	A	We talked about how the samples should be		
9	select	ed and about how they should be stored, about		
10	precau	ations taken against any contamination and	01:16PM	
11	about	shipping.		
12	Q	Did you make any suggestions that were not		
13	adopte	ed?		
14	A	I don't recall.		
15		MR. BULLOCK: Let's take just one moment	01:16PM	
16	here u	until we get this down.		
17		MR. JORGENSON: I'm going to turn this down		
18	as low	v as I can. Is it bothering you?		
19	Q	(By Mr. Jorgenson) Did you contribute in any		
20	way to	o the development of the State's scope of work	01:17PM	
21	detail	ling various different scientific analyses that		
22	were t	to be undertaken> do you know what I'm talking		
23	about	when I refer to the scope of work?		
24	A	No, I don't.		
25	Q	Did you have any contact with North Wind?	01:17PM	

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	A	Did I have any contact with North Wind.	
2	Q	Right.	
3	A	Yes, I talked to, spoke with their laboratory	
4	person	nnel and managers.	
5	Q	With whom at North Wind did you communicate	01:17PM
6	with k	by name?	
7	A	Jennifer Weidhaas and Tamzen MacBeth.	
8	Q	How frequently?	
9	A	I would say about once a month on average.	
10	Q	Did North Wind send you periodic updates as to	01:17PM
11	its wo	ork?	
12	A	Yes, they did.	
13	Q	How often?	
14	A	There wasn't a scheduled timing. Again,	
15	someti	mes it was frequent, a couple of times a week,	01:18PM
16	when t	things were developing and other times it might	
17	be two	o months between reports or communications.	
18	Q	What form did their updates take?	
19	A	They were e-mails, Word documents or spread	
20	sheets	s. Usually Word documents.	01:18PM
21	Q	And did Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.	
22	A	And some spread sheets.	
23	Q	Did North Wind ever send you drafts of its	
24	report	cs?	
25	A	Yes.	01:18PM

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

1	don't really mean much.	
2	Q What kind of comments would you make in these	
3	drafts?	
4	A I might ask a question like can you clarify	
5	here or I might say do you mean microgram or	01:19PM
6	microliter or I might say can we put a figure in	
7	here so we can explain this better or I might say I	
8	don't understand this part; let's go through it,	
9	things like that.	
10	Q All right. Let's move to the sampling	01:19PM
11	protocol. So for your microbial source tracking	
12	work, is it true that various samples were taken?	
13	A Yes, it's true.	
14	${f Q}$ Who participated in making the decision about	
15	what samples to take?	01:20PM
16	A That was it was Roger Olsen, David Page and	
17	I.	
18	Q Who decided	
19	A Let me clarify something. The samples	
20	actually, when we first started okay, I'm not	01:20PM
21	sure of the date, but at some point during the	
22	microbiological sampling we started keeping DNA	
23	samples, extracting them, keeping them aside in case	
24	we wanted to do something with them later on. So a	
25	lot of these sample were actually collected	01:20PM

225

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	You, alone, or anyone else?	
3	A	Well, of course, Tamzen and Jennifer	
4	partio	cipated fully in preparing it, and then we	
5	had	I know that when we talked, David Page, Roger	01:49PM
6	Olsen	and I, talked about things to include that	
7	would	make that would be inclusive of everything	
8	that w	we had done, so we all talked about that to	
9	make s	sure that all the material was here that would	
10	be nec	cessary.	01:49PM
11	Q	And is this report dated December 2007 your	
12	final	report?	
13		MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
14		THE WITNESS: It is the final report of	
15	this r	report. Now, there may be well, we're still	01:50PM
16	workir	ng on it, on the samples, so there could be	
17	more a	added later on.	
18	Q	(By Mr. Jorgenson) Are you gathering	
19	additi	ional samples?	
20	A	No, not to my knowledge.	01:50PM
21	Q	Are you testing the samples that have already	
22	been g	gathered?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	What are you testing them for?	
25	A	The Brevibacterium biomarker.	01:50PM

**Tulsa Freelance Reporters** 

(918) 587-2878

			1	
1		ains. Are you familiar with that at all?		
2	A	Yeah, but it's not in the Illinois River		
3	waters	watershed.		
4	Q	No, we're talking about wild areas.		
5	A	Oh, yeah, okay. I thought we were talking in	04:17PM	
6	the I	the Illinois River watershed.		
7	Q	Are you familiar with the Rocky Mountain		
8	Nation	National Park?		
9	A	Sure.		
10	Q	Do you know whether or not it's recommended to	04:17PM	
11	drink	water out of the streams in the high mountains		
12	of tha	of that park?		
13	A	Not without filtration.		
14	Q	Why would that be?		
15	A	Possible presence of Giardia.	04:17PM	
16	Q	You told us that you were involved in some		
17	respec	respect in the creation of the sample protocols for		
18	this p	this project; do you recall that?		
19	A	I collaborated on them.		
20	Q	Did you observe any of the sampling at any	04:17PM	
21	point	in any of this project?		
22	A	No, I didn't.		
23	Q	Did you observe any videos of any of the		
24	sampling?			
25	A	No, I didn't.	04:17PM	